


53 respondents 2020 2021 65% of 81 invited 31 respondents 49 respondents 2019 43% of 72 invited 78% of 63 invited

Overall, I am a satisfied FAS employee.




Mean = 4.10, Std Dev = 0.86

3.94 mean score for 56 questions (scale 1-5)

3 questions in the excellent range (4.3 or greater)

Influential Strengths **Primary Opportunities** 10 All Welcomed 30 Better Ways Recognized 48 Supportive of Personal Issues 3 Leadership Interested in Staff's Ideas 33 Sexual Orientation - Fair 2 Valued Member 46 Supports Training 36 Feel Valued 12 Contributes to Dept's Mission 29 Resolves Staff Issues

Dimension Mean Score Trending Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent



Employee Net Promoter Score (eNPS)

"Overall, I am a satisfied employee...." by "How likely is it that you would recommend working..."

80 eNPS* (84.0% - 4.0%)

Below 40 - Low 40 to 59 - Marginal 60 to 79 - Good 80 & above - Excellent

						Likeliho	od to Reco	mmend					Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10101
	5								1	1	2	6	10
	4							1	1	3	4	3	12
Satisfied Employee	3									1			1
	2		1						1				2
	1												
Total			1					1	3	5	6	9	25

*How eNPS Works ▶

Satisfied Promoters score 4-5 on the "Satisfied" item and 7-10 on the "Recommend" item. Dissatisfied Non-Promoters score 1-2 on the "Satisfied" item and 0-4 on the "Recommend" item. Subtract the percentage of Dissatisfied Non-Promoters from the percentage of Satisfied Promoters to calculate eNPS. Passives score 3 on the "Satisfied" item and 5-6 on the "Recommend" item.

Background

2021 - FAS Employee Engagement Survey Facility Services - 51000

Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent

Change from 2020 to 2021 Arrows indicate change of .09 or greater 101 Invited (N)

Facilities Dev & Mgmt

53% Response Rate


Mean score greater than that of Facilities Dev & Mgmt (rounded to two decimal places)

			2019	2020	2021		2021
	1	Overall Satisfaction	4.35	4.33	4.10	-	3.94
	2	Valued Member	4.34	4.28	3.73	*	3.64
	3	Leadership Interested in Staff's Ideas		4.15	3.47	*	3.53
	4	Faculty Value Contributions	4.29	4.21	3.87	—	3.88
Satisfaction with Finance	5	Staff Value Contributions	4.17	4.28	3.80	-	3.85
& Administrative Services	6	Understand University Mission	4.38	4.30	4.00	-	4.17
	7	Contributes to FAS Mission	4.55	4.44	4.13	•	4.25
	8	Have a Voice	4.17	4.06	3.70	1	3.66
	9	Career Advancement	4.14	4.04	3.77	-	3.64
	10	All Welcomed	4.39	4.46	3.97	*	4.02
	11	Understand Dept's Mission	4.42	4.40	4.17	+	4.30
	12	Contributes to Dept's Mission	4.49	4.50	4.30	-	4.42
Department - Mission and	13	Annual Dept Goals	4.26	4.15	3.87	—	4.06
Goals	14	Measures Dept Goals	4.10	4.11	3.69	+	3.88
	15	Measures Customer Satisfaction	4.21	4.28	3.97	+	3.94
	16	Improve Services Products	4.33	4.34	4.10	+	4.10
	17	Adequate Staffing	3.64	3.72	3.34	+	3.10
	18	Have Tools	4.36	4.40	4.38		4.27
	19	Physical Work Environment	4.26	4.26	4.18	_	3.94
	20	Safe Environment	4.48	4.27	4.17	-	4.29
	21	Spirit of Cooperation	4.05	4.16	3.90	-	3.83
	22	Ethical Conduct	4.21	4.24	3.93	+	3.98
Name when a set of the attitude and	23	Collaborate Well with Coworkers			3.91		3.93
Department Effectiveness	24	Collaborate Well with Supervisor			4.09		4.14
	25	Collaborate with Units Outside	4.30	4.23	3.73	* +	3.90
	26	Perform Responsibilities	4.11	4.14	3.86	-	3.94
	27	Participate in Decisions	4.09	3.96	3.72	-	3.86
	28	Balance Work Life	4.41	4.29	3.83	+	3.92
	29	Resolves Staff Issues	4.00	4.00	3.72	-	3.65
	30	Better Ways Recognized	4.16	4.25	3.72	*	3.69
	31	Department Diversity Programs		4.35	3.93	+	3.98
	32	All Cultures - Fair	4.41	4.28	3.93	+	3.94
Department - Diversity	33	Sexual Orientation - Fair	4.43	4.33	4.07	+	4.20
and Climate	34	Support Diversity	4.43	4.38	3.97	+	4.00
	35	Treated in a Professional Manner	4.27	4.29	3.90	+	3.94
	36	Feel Valued	4.27	4.26	3.79	+	3.77
	37	Recommends without Fear	4.40	4.34	4.00	-	4.04
	38	Sufficient Freedom	4.42	4.39	4.21	+	4.23
	39	Communicates Essential Info	4.31	4.14	4.14		4.10
	40	Work Assigned Equitably	4.09	4.00	3.90	+	3.92
	41	Gives Praise for Work	4.33	4.32	4.03	+	4.06
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	42	Suggestions for Improvement	4.27	4.26	4.18		4.06
upervisor Effectiveness	43	Evaluated Fairly	4.36	4.22	4.14	_	4.04
	44	Performance Evaluation	4.27	4.16	4.00	+	3.94
	45	Advancement Opportunities	4.22	4.29	3.93	—	4.00
	46	Supports Training	4.36	4.39	4.10	+	4.19
	47	Treats with Respect	4.47	4.44	4.36	_	4.29
	48	Supportive of Personal Issues	4.47	4.49	4.14	+	4.21
	49	Appropriate Stress	3.93	3.82	3.60	+	3.50
	50	Total Compensation	3.68	3.81	2.83	*+	2.77
	51	Get Information	4.44	4.31	4.13	-	4.13
manlarra a Effa -ttri	52	Good Use of Skills	4.28	4.31	4.07	+	3.98
Employee Effectiveness	53	Know How to Use Tools	4.57	4.51	4.27	+	4.38
	54	Manage Workload	4.34	4.25	3.73	+	3.87
	55	Valuable Training	4.30	4.27	3.86	+	3.85
	56	•	4.52	4.56	4.23	-	4.21

Calan

2021 - FAS Employee Engagement Survey

Facility Services - 51000





Strongly

		etent you agree or disagree	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree	N/A			
applicable or you do		ents. Select 'N/A' if it is not									
applicable of you do	71100 1		5	4	3	2	1				
	1	Overall, I am a satisfied FAS	S employee.								
	2	I feel valued as a member of	FAS.								
	3	Senior leadership in FAS are	genuinely interes	ted in hearing the i	deas and opinions of s	staff members.					
Caticfaction with	4	Faculty members at CSUSM v	value my contribu	itions.							
Satisfaction with Finance &	5	Staff members at CSUSM val	ue my contributio	ons.							
Administrative	6	I understand the FAS mission	1.								
Services		I understand how my job per		•							
	_	I feel I have a voice to provid	-		•						
	9	, , , ,									
		FAS promotes a work environ		people are welcome	ed.						
		I understand my department		alu cantributas ta n	v danartmant's missi	on					
Donoutmont		I understand how my job per My department establishes a	•	•		OII.					
Department - Mission and Goals		My department routinely me	-								
IVIISSIOII allu Goals		My department routinely me	•			elivered					
		My department routinely tak			•						
		My department has adequat			oudets bused on east	omer recubuek.					
		I have the tools (i.e., equipm	•		rm mv work.						
		My physical work environme			•						
		I feel physically safe while I a			-	te during the last 12 r	months)				
	21	There is a spirit of cooperation	on within my depa	artment.							
	22	Most people in my departme	ent conduct them	selves in an ethical	manner.						
Department	23	While working remotely, I ha	ive been able to c	ollaborate well wit	n co-workers as neede	ed.					
Effectiveness	24	While working remotely, I have been able to collaborate well with my supervisor as needed.									
	25	People in my department are encouraged to work collaboratively with departments outside of my immediate area.									
		6 Most people in my department perform their responsibilities.									
		I have the opportunity to par		_	-						
		My department creates a fle			•	personal life.					
	29	My department effectively re									
		People in my department are	_								
		I am satisfied with the divers People of all ethnic groups, c	-			ont					
Department -		People of all sexual orientation		_		ient.					
Diversity and		My department actively supp									
Climate		My department provides an			ted in a professional r	manner.					
		I feel valued by my departme		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		I can make recommendation		r without fear of ne	gative consequences						
	38	I have sufficient freedom to d	decide how to be	st perform my work							
	39	My supervisor communicates	s essential inform	ation on a timely b	asis.						
	40	Work is assigned equitably in	n my department.								
	41	My supervisor gives me prais	se for my work.								
Supervisor		My supervisor gives me usef		improvement.							
Effectiveness		My performance is evaluated fairly. My last performance evaluation provided me with information I could use to improve my performance.									
						my performance.					
		My supervisor gives me oppo					9. 11.1				
		My supervisor actively suppo		ion in training and e	education programs re	elated to my Job respo	insibilities.				
		My supervisor treats me with	•	uos ariso							
		My supervisor is supportive value of that the amount of street			iate for my position						
		I am satisfied with my total c									
		I know how to get the inform	-								
Employee		My job makes good use of m			••						
Effectiveness		I know how to use the tools t	-		nology) to do my worl	k.					
		I am able to manage my wor	, ,		<i>511</i>						
		The training that I receive at	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		job performance.						
	56	I enjoy working with my cow	orkers.								
eNPS	57	How likely is it that you woul	d recommend wo	orking in the Financ	e & Administrative Se	rvices (FAS) Division?					


Strongly

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Satisfaction with Finance & Administrative Services

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

■ Facility Services - 51000



UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Mission and Goals

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

■ Facility Services - 51000

	CCLICA	4.40	A 4.17
	CSUSM		● 4.17
Understand	UCANR	4.40	
Dept's Mission	CSUCO		
	CSLA	-	
	UCSD		
	CSUCO	-	
Contribute to	UCANR	4.44	
Dept's Mission —	CSLA		
	CSUSM	4.38	• 4.30
	UCSD	4.32	
	CSUCO	4.08	
	CSUSM	4.05	● 3.87
Annual Dept —— Goals	UCSD	3.81	
ludis	UCANR	3.73	
	CSLA	3.65	
	CSUCO	3.95	
	CSUSM	3.85	● 3.69
leasures Dept oals	UCSD	3.71	
oais	UCANR	3.64	
	CSLA	3.47	
	CSUSM	3.99	● 3.97
/leasures	CSUCO	3.93	
ustomer	UCSD	3.74	
atisfaction	UCANR	3.45	
	CSLA	3.43	
	CSUSM	4.12	• 4.10
mprove	CSUCO	4.07	
ervices	UCSD	3.79	
Products	UCANR	3.68	
	CSLA	3.60	

 ${\tt UC\ ANR\ (UC\ Division\ of\ Agriculture\ \&\ Natural\ Resources)-Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension}}$

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Department Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

■ Facility Services - 51000

	CSUSM	3.05	● 3.34				
Adequate	CSUCO	3.01			CSUCO	4.45	
Staffing —	CSLA	2.92			UCANR	1	
	UCSD			Ethical	CSUSM	1	● 3.93
	UCANR	i		Conduct		+	3.55
	CSUSM		● 4.38		UCSD		
_	CSUCO	į.			CSLA	4.03	
Have Tools	UCSD				CSUCO	4.27	
	CSLA			Collaborate	CSUSM	4.17	● 3.73
	UCANR			with Units	UCANR	4.15	
Physical Work	CSUCO			Outside	UCSD	1	
	CSUSM		● 4.18			1	
Environment —	UCSD				CSLA		
	UCANR				UCANR	1	
	CSLA			Dorform Dosas	CSUCO	4.24	
	UCANR		2.1.17	Perform Respo nsibilities	CSUSM	4.09	● 3.86
Safe Environment	CSUSM		• 4.17	nsibilities	UCSD	4.04	
	CSUCO					3.96	
	CSLA				UCANR		
	CSUCO			Participate in Decisions	CSUCO	-	
	UCANR					1	
Spirit of	CSUSM	i	● 3.90		CSUSM		● 3.72
Cooperation —	UCSD		5.50		UCSD		
	CSLA				CSLA	3.80	
	CSUCO				UCANR	4.33	
	UCANR	!			CSUCO	4.19	
Ethical	CSUSM		● 3.93	Balance Work	CSUSM	1	● 3.83
Conduct —	UCSD			Life	UCSD		<u> </u>
	CSLA	4.03					
					CSLA		
					CSUCO	į.	
				Docaluse Stoff	CSUSM	1	● 3.72
				Resolves Staff Issues	UCANR	3.82	
				133463	UCSD	3.69	
					CSLA		
					CSUCO		
					CSUSM		● 3.72
				Better Ways	UCANR		
				Recognized	UCSD	-	
_		0.44	sources) - Agricultural Experim		ūCSD	J. / L	

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Diversity and Climate

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

■ Facility Services - 51000

	CSUCO	4.34	
All Cultures	CSUSM	4.27	● 3.93
All Cultures - Fair —	CSLA	4.26	
raii	UCANR	4.22	
	UCSD	4.16	
	CSUCO	4.45	
Sexual	CSUSM	4.42	4 .07
Orientation -	CSLA	4.32	
Fair	UCANR	4.32	
	UCSD	4.24	
Department	CSUSM	4.14	● 3.93
Diversity	CSUCO	4.04	
Programs	UCSD	3.83	
All Welcomed	CSLA	4.10	
	CSUCO	4.28	
Comment	CSUSM	4.26	● 3.97
Support — Diversity —	CSLA	4.24	
Diversity	UCANR	4.21	
	UCSD	4.13	
	CSUCO	4.30	
Community-	UCANR	4.24	
Excellence-	CSUSM	4.23	● 3.90
Professional	UCSD	4.14	
	CSLA	4.04	

	UCANR	4.18	
	CSUSM	4.02	● 3.79
Feel Valued	CSUCO	4.02	
	UCSD	3.88	
	CSLA	3.84	

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs


CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Supervisor Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Facility Services - 51000



UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs


CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of Facility Services - 51000 to other Universities Overall

Employee Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Facility Services - 51000



	CSUCO	3.85	
	CSUSM	3.84	● 3.86
Valuable Training	UCSD	3.70	
Trailing —	UCANR	3.66	
	CSLA	3.54	
	UCANR	4.49	
Enjoy Working	CSUCO	4.43	
with	CSUSM	4.37	• 4.23
Coworkers	UCSD	4.28	
	CSLA	4.23	

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries