

University Police - 20000

 2021
 2020
 16 respondents 44% of 36 invited 44% of 36 invited 21 respondents


 55% of 38 invited
 2019
 21 respondents 50% of 42 invited 50% of

3.86 mean score for 56 questions (scale 1-5)

3 questions in the excellent range (4.3 or greater)


Strengths	Opportunities		
11 Understand Dept's Mission	50 Total Compensation		
53 Know How to Use Tools	4 Faculty Value Contributions		
54 Manage Workload	17 Adequate Staffing		
32 All Cultures - Fair	9 Career Advancement		
34 Support Diversity	29 Resolves Staff Issues		

Overall, I am a satisfied FAS employee.



Mean = 3.63, Std Dev = 1.38

Dimension Mean Score Trending Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent



Employee Net Promoter Score (eNPS)

"Overall, I am a satisfied employee...." by "How likely is it that you would recommend..."

53 eNPS* (68.4% - **15.8%**)

Below 40 - Low 40 to 59 - Marginal 60 to 79 - Good 80 & above - Excellent

		Likelihood to Recommend							Total					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Iotai	
	5										1	4	5	
	4						1			3	2	3	9	
Satisfied Employee	3								1				1	
	2							1					1	
	1	1	1	1									3	
Total		1	1	1			1	1	1	3	3	7	19	

^{*}How eNPS Works ▶

Satisfied Promoters score 4-5 on the "Satisfied" item and 7-10 on the "Recommend" item. Dissatisfied Non-Promoters score 1-2 on the "Satisfied" item and 0-4 on the "Recommend" item. Subtract the percentage of Dissatisfied Non-Promoters from the percentage of Satisfied Promoters to calculate eNPS. Passives score 3 on the "Satisfied" item and 5-6 on the "Recommend" item.

Background

- . 2021 is the fifth year of the FAS Employee Engagement Survey
- Survey Period: October 19 November 12, 2021
- 247 individuals were invited to take the survey; 137 (55%) responded
- Survey consisted of 56 satisfaction questions, eNPS question, open-ended question for feedback regarding work environment,
 and the opportunity to highlight colleague(s) who have made an impact on creating a positive work environment
- Survey and analytics powered by Tritonlytics™ Organizational Assessments and Strategy, UC San Diego

2021 - FAS Employee Engagement Survey University Police - 20000

Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent

Mean score greater than that of Finance and Administrative Services (rounded to two decimal places)

Change from 2020 to 2021 Arrows indicate change of .09 or greater

247 Invited (N)

Services

Finance and Administrative

18 137 Responded (n) 55% Response Rate

			2019	2020	2021		2021
	1	Overall Satisfaction	3.58	3.69	3.63		3.86
	2	Valued Member	3.42	3.38	3.60		3.66
	3	Leadership Interested in Staff's Ideas		3.63	3.60		3.65
	4	Faculty Value Contributions	3.50	3.19	3.14		3.50
Satisfaction with Finance	5	•	3.42	3.63	3.67		3.82
& Administrative Services	6	Understand University Mission	4.24	3.94	4.15	—	4.15
	7	Contributes to FAS Mission	3.95	3.88	4.05	-	4.22
	8	Have a Voice	3.37	3.60	3.60		3.69
	9	Career Advancement	3.17	3.31	3.38		3.50
	10		4.19	4.07	3.65		3.99
	11	Understand Dept's Mission	4.33	4.20	4.38	_	4.40
	12		4.33	4.27	4.14		4.38
Department - Mission and	13		3.60	3.87	4.00	•	4.05
Goals	14	Measures Dept Goals	3.38	3.73	3.71	-	3.85
Juais	15	Measures Customer Satisfaction	3.67	3.73	3.86		3.99
	16	Improve Services Products	3.62	3.93	3.95		4.12
	17	Adequate Staffing	3.57	2.87	3.33	•	3.05
	18		3.86	4.20	4.00		4.11
	19		4.10	4.20	3.86	<u>i</u>	4.07
	20	Safe Environment	3.76	3.93	4.10		4.28
	21	Spirit of Cooperation	2.71	3.67	3.57		3.98
	22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.38	4.00	4.14		4.30
		Collaborate Well with Coworkers	3.30	4.00	3.83		4.15
Department Effectiveness	23						4.30
	24		2 71	4.07	4.00	-	4.17
	25	Collaborate with Units Outside	3.71	4.07	3.90		4.17
	26	Perform Responsibilities	3.43	4.07	3.57	*	
	27	Participate in Decisions	3.48	3.80	3.71		4.02
	28	Balance Work Life	4.05	4.21	3.90	*	4.16
	29	Resolves Staff Issues	2.50	3.73	3.45		3.85
	30	Better Ways Recognized	3.33	3.73	3.57	-	3.91
	31	, , ,		3.80	4.11		4.14
	32		4.33	4.20	4.29		4.27
Department - Diversity	33	Sexual Orientation - Fair	4.52	4.13	4.24	—	4.42
and Climate	34		4.29	4.00	4.29		4.26
	35		3.43	4.00	4.05		4.23
	36	Feel Valued	3.33	3.71	3.67		4.02
	37	Recommends without Fear	4.00	4.27	3.95	+	4.24
	38	Sufficient Freedom	4.15	4.13	3.81	+	4.30
	39	Communicates Essential Info	3.65	4.00	3.90	4	4.16
	40		3.55	4.13	3.71	•	3.91
	41	Gives Praise for Work	3.80	3.73	3.67	<u> </u>	4.14
Supervisor Effectiveness	42	Suggestions for Improvement	3.80	3.87	3.95	_	4.16
supervisor Effectiveness	43	Evaluated Fairly	3.80	4.13	4.00	+	4.17
	44	Performance Evaluation	3.90	3.93	4.06	=	3.97
	45	Advancement Opportunities	3.70	3.60	3.71	=	3.98
	46	Supports Training	3.85	4.20	3.81		4.18
	47	Treats with Respect	4.00	4.33	4.24	*	4.44
	48		4.25	4.27	4.29		4.44
	49	Appropriate Stress	3.52	3.53	3.57		3.45
		Total Compensation	3.25	3.07	2.67	—	2.75
	51		4.05	4.27	4.19		4.24
		Good Use of Skills	3.81	3.80	3.86		4.09
Employee Effectiveness	53		3.95	4.33	4.33		4.41
•			4.10	4.20	4.30		
	54						
	54 55		3.52	3.80	4.00		3.96 3.84



Strongly

		etent you agree or disagree	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree	N/A			
applicable or you do		ents. Select 'N/A' if it is not									
applicable of you do	71100 1		5	4	3	2	1				
	1	Overall, I am a satisfied FAS	S employee.								
	2	I feel valued as a member of	FAS.								
	3	Senior leadership in FAS are	genuinely interes	ted in hearing the i	deas and opinions of s	staff members.					
Caticfaction with	4	Faculty members at CSUSM v	value my contribu	itions.							
Satisfaction with Finance &	5	Staff members at CSUSM value my contributions.									
Administrative	6	I understand the FAS mission	1.								
Services		I understand how my job per		•							
	_	I feel I have a voice to provid	-		•						
	9	, , , ,									
		FAS promotes a work environ		people are welcome	ed.						
		I understand my department		alu cantributas ta n	v danartmant's missi	on					
Donoutmont		I understand how my job per My department establishes a	•	•		OII.					
Department - Mission and Goals		My department routinely me	-								
IVIISSIOII allu Goals		My department routinely me	•			elivered					
		My department routinely tak			•						
		My department has adequat			oudets bused on east	omer recubuek.					
		I have the tools (i.e., equipm	•		rm mv work.						
		My physical work environme			•						
		I feel physically safe while I a			-	te during the last 12 r	months)				
	21	There is a spirit of cooperation	on within my depa	artment.							
	22	Most people in my departme	ent conduct them	selves in an ethical	manner.						
Department	23	While working remotely, I ha	ive been able to c	ollaborate well wit	n co-workers as neede	ed.					
Effectiveness	24										
	25	People in my department are encouraged to work collaboratively with departments outside of my immediate area.									
			ole in my department perform their responsibilities.								
		I have the opportunity to par		_	-						
		My department creates a fle			•	personal life.					
	29	My department effectively re									
		People in my department are	_								
		I am satisfied with the divers People of all ethnic groups, c	-			ont					
Department -		People of all sexual orientation		_		ient.					
Diversity and		My department actively supp									
Climate		My department provides an			ted in a professional r	manner.					
		I feel valued by my departme		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		I can make recommendation		r without fear of ne	gative consequences						
	38	I have sufficient freedom to d	decide how to be	st perform my work							
	39	My supervisor communicates	s essential inform	ation on a timely b	asis.						
	40	Work is assigned equitably in	n my department.								
	41	My supervisor gives me prais	se for my work.								
Supervisor		My supervisor gives me usef		improvement.							
Effectiveness		My performance is evaluated				•					
		My last performance evaluat				my performance.					
		My supervisor gives me oppo					9. 11.1				
		My supervisor actively suppo		ion in training and e	education programs re	elated to my Job respo	insibilities.				
		My supervisor treats me with	•	uos ariso							
		My supervisor is supportive value of that the amount of street			iate for my position						
		I am satisfied with my total c									
		I know how to get the inform	-								
Employee		My job makes good use of m			••						
Effectiveness		I know how to use the tools t	-		nology) to do my worl	k.					
		I am able to manage my wor	, ,		<i>511</i>						
		The training that I receive at	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		job performance.						
	56	I enjoy working with my cow	orkers.								
eNPS	57	How likely is it that you woul	d recommend wo	orking in the Financ	e & Administrative Se	rvices (FAS) Division?					


Strongly

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Satisfaction with Finance & Administrative Services

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

University Police - 20000



UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Mission and Goals

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

● University Police - 20000

	CSUSM	4.40	• 4.38
	UCANR	4.40	
Understand	CSUCO	4.38	
Dept's Mission —	CSLA	4.29	
	UCSD	4.22	
	CSUCO	4.45	
	UCANR	4.44	
Contribute to Dept's Mission	CSLA	4.39	
Dept's Mission	CSUSM	4.38	● 4.14
	UCSD	4.32	
	CSUCO	4.08	
	CSUSM	4.05	• 4.00
Annual Dept Goals	UCSD	3.81	
Guais	UCANR	3.73	
	CSLA	3.65	
	CSUCO	3.95	
	CSUSM	3.85	● 3.71
Measures Dept Goals	UCSD	3.71	
Goals	UCANR	3.64	
	CSLA	3.47	
	CSUSM	3.99	● 3.86
Measures	CSUCO	3.93	
Customer	UCSD	3.74	
Satisfaction	UCANR	3.45	
	CSLA	3.43	
	CSUSM	4.12	● 3.95
Improve	CSUCO	4.07	
Services	UCSD	3.79	
Products	UCANR	3.68	
	CSLA	3.60	

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs


CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Department Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

University Police - 20000



CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Diversity and Climate

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

● University Police - 20000

	CSUCO	_	
All Cultures -	CSUSM	4.27	• 4.29
Fair —	CSLA	4.26	
	UCANR	4.22	
	UCSD	4.16	
	CSUCO	4.45	
Sexual	CSUSM	4.42	● 4.24
Orientation -	CSLA	4.32	
Fair	UCANR	4.32	
	UCSD	4.24	
Department	CSUSM	4.14	• 4.11
Diversity	CSUCO	4.04	
Programs	UCSD	3.83	
All Welcomed	CSLA	4.10	
	CSUCO	4.28	
	CSUSM	4.26	• 4.29
Support — Diversity —	CSLA	4.24	
Diversity	UCANR	4.21	
	UCSD	4.13	
	CSUCO	4.30	
Community-	UCANR	4.24	
Excellence-	CSUSM	4.23	● 4.05
Professional	UCSD	4.14	
	CSLA	4.04	

Feel Valued	UCANR	4.18	
	CSUSM	4.02	● 3.67
	CSUCO	4.02	
	UCSD	3.88	
	CSLA	3.84	

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs


CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Supervisor Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

University Police - 20000



UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs


CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

Comparison of University Police - 20000 to other Universities Overall

Employee Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

University Police - 20000



CSUCO	3.85	
CSUSM	3.84	● 4.00
UCSD	3.70	
UCANR	3.66	
CSLA	3.54	
UCANR	4.49	
CSUCO	4.43	
CSUSM	4.37	● 4.29
UCSD	4.28	
CSLA	4.23	
	CSUSM UCSD UCANR CSLA UCANR CSUCO CSUSM UCSD	UCSD 3.70 UCANR 3.66 CSLA 3.54 UCANR 4.49 CSUCO 4.43

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries