$2020 \\ 5 \text{ respondents} \\ 45\% \text{ of } 11 \text{ invited}$ $2019 \\ 60\% \text{ of } 15 \text{ invited} \\ 7 \text{ respondents} \\ 50\% \text{ of } 14 \text{ invited}$

3.38 mean score for 54 questions (scale 1-5)0 questions in the excellent range (4.3 or greater)

Strengths

Opportunities

25 Balance Work Life

4 Faculty Value Contributions

10 Understand Dept's Mission

9 Career Advancement

11 Contributes to Dept's Mission

20 Spirit of Cooperation


35 Recommends without Fear

48 Total Compensation

44 Supports Training


26 Resolves Staff Issues

Overall, I am a satisfied FAS employee.



Mean = 3.00, Std Dev = 0.00

Dimension Mean Score Trending Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent



Employee Net Promoter Score (eNPS)

"Overall, I am a satisfied employee...." by "How likely is it that you would recommend..."



Below 40 - Low 40 to 59 - Marginal 60 to 79 - Good 80 & above - Excellent


						Likeliho	od to Reco	mmend					Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
	5												
	4												
Satisfied Employee	3						3	1	1				5
	2												
	1												
Total							3	1	1				5

*How eNPS Works ▶

Satisfied Promoters score 4-5 on the "Satisfied" item and 7-10 on the "Recommend" item. Dissatisfied Non-Promoters score 1-2 on the "Satisfied" item and 0-4 on the "Recommend" item. Subtract the percentage of Dissatisfied Non-Promoters from the percentage of Satisfied Promoters to calculate eNPS. Passives score 3 on the "Satisfied" item and 5-6 on the "Recommend" item.

Background

- . 2020 is the fourth year of the FAS Employee Engagement Survey
- Survey Period: September 15 October 2, 2020
- 254 individuals were invited to take the survey; 177 (70%) responded
- Survey consisted of 54 satisfaction questions, eNPS question, open-ended question for feedback regarding work environment,
 and the opportunity to highlight colleague(s) who have made an impact on creating a positive work environment
- Survey and analytics powered by Tritonlytics™ Organizational Assessments and Strategy, UC San Diego



Below 3.00 - Low | 3.00 to 3.59 - Marginal | 3.60 to 4.29 - Good | 4.30 & above - Excellent

Mean score greater than that of University Police (rounded to two decimal places)

Change from 2019 to 2020 Arrows indicate change of .09 or greater University Police
36 Invited (N)

1 • 0

16 Responded (n) 44% Response Rate

			2018	2019	2020		2020
	1	Overall Satisfaction	3.83	3.89	3.00	+	3.69
	2	Valued Member	4.29	3.78	2.80	+	3.38
	3	Leadership Interested in Staff's Ideas			2.80		3.63
	4	Faculty Value Contributions	3.83	3.75	2.60	+	3.19
Satisfaction with Finance	5	Staff Value Contributions	4.14	3.56	3.00	+	3.63
& Administrative Services	6	Understand University Mission	4.29	4.44	3.40	+	3.94
	7	Contributes to FAS Mission	4.14	4.22	2.80	+	3.88
	8	Have a Voice	3.86	3.67	3.00	+	3.60
	9	Career Advancement	2.86	3.50	2.60	+	3.31
	10	Understand Dept's Mission	4.29	4.78	4.00	+	4.20
	11	Contributes to Dept's Mission	4.29	4.78	4.00	+	4.27
Department - Mission and	12	Annual Dept Goals	3.71	4.63	3.60	+	3.87
Goals	13	Measures Dept Goals	3.43	4.00	3.20	+	3.73
	14	Measures Customer Satisfaction	4.00	4.33	3.20	+	3.73
	15	Improve Services Products	4.00	4.56	3.40	+	3.93
	16	Adequate Staffing	4.00	3.56	2.80	+	2.87
	17	Have Tools	4.43	4.11	3.80		4.20
	18	Physical Work Environment	4.71	4.44	3.80	-	4.07
	19	Safe Environment	4.71	4.11	3.40		3.93
	20	Spirit of Cooperation	3.71	3.67	2.60	-	3.67
	21	Ethical Conduct	4.57	4.44	3.40	+	4.00
Department Effectiveness	22	Collaborate with Units Outside	4.29	4.11	3.40	-	4.07
	23	Perform Responsibilities	3.57	4.11	3.40	<u> </u>	4.07
	24	Participate in Decisions	3.86	4.11	2.80	1	3.80
	25	Balance Work Life	4.29	4.67	4.20		4.21
	26	Resolves Staff Issues	3.43	3.13	2.80	-	3.73
	27	Better Ways Recognized	3.71	3.78	3.00		3.73
	28	Department Diversity Programs			3.20	Ť	3.80
	29	All Cultures - Fair	4.57	5.00	3.60	1	4.20
	30	Sexual Orientation - Fair	4.71	5.00	3.60	i	4.13
Department - Diversity	31	All Welcomed	4.57	4.67	3.60	<u> </u>	4.07
and Climate	32	Support Diversity	4.57	4.67	3.40	1	4.00
	33	Treated in a Professional Manner	4.71	4.44	3.40	-	4.00
	34	Feel Valued	4.00	4.00	0.10	1	3.71
	35	Recommends without Fear	3.71	4.44	4.00		4.27
	36	Sufficient Freedom	4.29	4.33	3.40		4.13
	37	Communicates Essential Info	3.43	3.89	3.60	-	4.00
	38	Work Assigned Equitably	3.14	4.11	3.40	1	4.13
	39	Gives Praise for Work	3.50	3.89	3.00		3.73
	40	Suggestions for Improvement	3.71	4.11	3.40	· L	3.87
Supervisor Effectiveness	41	Evaluated Fairly	4.14	4.11	3.80		4.13
	42	Performance Evaluation	3.86	4.11	3.60		3.93
	43	Advancement Opportunities	3.57	3.89	3.00		3.60
	44	Supports Training	4.43	4.22	4.00		4.20
	45	Treats with Respect	4.57	4.44	4.00		4.33
	46	Supportive of Personal Issues	4.57	4.67	4.00		4.27
	47	Appropriate Stress	4.00	4.22	4.00		3.53
	48	Total Compensation	3.17	3.50	2.60		3.07
	49	Get Information	4.14	4.33	4.00		4.27
	50	Good Use of Skills	4.43	4.00	3.20		3.80
Employee Effectiveness	51	Know How to Use Tools	4.43	4.38	4.00		4.33
	52	Manage Workload	4.43	4.33	4.00		4.33
	53	Valuable Training	4.00	3.89	3.80	·	3.80
	54	Enjoy Working with Coworkers	4.00	4.22	3.00	_	
	54	LIJOY VVOIKIIIS VVILII COVVOIKEIS	4.00	4.22	3.00	*	3.67

Please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements. Select 'N/A' if it is not applicable or you do not know.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

N/A

	1 0	Overall, I am a satisfied FAS employee.
	2 1	feel valued as a member of FAS.
	_	Senior leadership in FAS are genuinely interested in hearing the ideas and opinions of staff members.
Satisfaction with		Faculty members at CSUSM value my contributions.
Finance &		Staff members at CSUSM value my contributions.
Administrative		understand the FAS mission.
Services		understand how my job performance positively contributes to the FAS mission.
		feel I have a voice to provide ideas and suggestions on how to improve FAS.
		am satisfied with my opportunities for career advancement at CSUSM.
		understand my department's mission.
		understand how my job performance positively contributes to my department's mission.
Department -		My department establishes annual departmental performance goals.
Mission and Goals		My department routinely measures departmental performance goal achievements.
		My department routinely measures customer satisfaction with services and products delivered.
	15 N	My department routinely takes action to improve services and products based on customer feedback.
		Vly department has adequate staffing to handle our workload.
	17 I	have the tools (i.e., equipment and technology) needed to perform my work.
	18 N	My physical work environment (e.g., office, cubicle) is adequate for the job that I do.
	19 I	feel physically safe in my work environment.
	20 T	There is a spirit of cooperation within my department.
Department	21 N	Most people in my department conduct themselves in an ethical manner.
Effectiveness	22 P	People in my department are encouraged to work collaboratively with departments outside of my immediate area.
	23 N	Most people in my department perform their responsibilities.
	24 I	have the opportunity to participate in making decisions that affect my work.
		My department creates a flexible environment that allows me to balance my work and personal life.
	26 N	My department effectively resolves staff-related issues (i.e., staff work interactions).
	27 P	People in my department are recognized for finding better ways of doing things.
	28 I	am satisfied with the diversity related initiatives and efforts within my department.
	29 P	People of all ethnic groups, cultures, and backgrounds are treated fairly in my department.
Department -	30 P	People of all sexual orientations are treated fairly in my department.
Diversity and	31 F	AS promotes a work environment where all people are welcomed.
Climate	32 N	My department actively supports a diverse work environment.
	33 N	My department provides an environment where everyone is treated in a professional manner.
	34 I	feel valued by my department.
	35 I	can make recommendations to my supervisor without fear of negative consequences.
	36 I	have sufficient freedom to decide how to best perform my work.
	37 N	My supervisor communicates essential information on a timely basis.
	38 V	Nork is assigned equitably in my department.
	39 N	My supervisor gives me praise for my work.
Supervisor	40 N	My supervisor gives me useful suggestions for improvement.
Effectiveness	41 N	My performance is evaluated fairly.
	42 N	My last performance evaluation provided me with information I could use to improve my performance.
	43 N	My supervisor gives me opportunities that support my career advancement.
	44 N	My supervisor actively supports my participation in training and education programs related to my job responsibilities.
		My supervisor treats me with respect.
		My supervisor is supportive when personal issues arise.
		feel that the amount of stress associated with my job is appropriate for my position.
		am satisfied with my total compensation, including salary and benefits.
		know how to get the information I need to be effective in my job.
Employee		My job makes good use of my skills and abilities.
Effectiveness		know how to use the tools that I have (i.e., equipment and technology) to do my work.
		am able to manage my work load effectively.
		The training that I receive at CSUSM is valuable for improving my job performance.
		enjoy working with my coworkers.
eNPS	55 H	How likely is it that you would recommend working in the Finance & Administrative Services (FAS) Division?



Comparison of Parking and Commuter Services - 22000 to other Universities Overall

Satisfaction with Finance & Administrative Services

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Parking and Commuter Services - 22000

	CSUSM	4.12	● 3.00
Overall Satisfaction	CSUCO	4.03	
	UCSD	4.00	
	UCANR	3.80	
	CSLA	3.66	
	CSUSM	4.05	2.80
Valued	CSUCO	3.78	
Member	UCSD	3.77	
Wichiber	UCANR	3.60	
	CSLA	3.40	
Leadership	CSUSM	3.94	2.80
Interested in	CSUCO	3.56	
Staff's Ideas	UCSD	3.51	
	CSUCO	4.18	
Campus	UCANR	3.74	
Faculty Value	CSUSM	3.72	• 2.60
racuity value	UCSD	3.67	
	CSLA	3.45	
	CSUCO	4.10	
Staff Value	CSUSM	4.09	● 3.00
Contributions	UCSD	3.98	
Contributions	UCANR	3.95	
	CSLA	3.79	
		4.49	
Contribute to	CSUSM		● 2.80
University	UCSD	4.31	
Mission	CSLA	4.29	
	UCANR	4.18	

CSUCO 4.49 Contribute to University Mission CSLA 4.29 UCANR 4.18 CSUSM 3.95						
University Mission CSLA 4.29 UCANR 4.18 CSUSM UCSD 3.95 3.00 3.51 Have a Voice CSUCO UCANR CSLA UCANR CSLA 3.18 CSLA CSLA 3.09 CSUSM UCSD CSUSM 3.57 ■ 2.60 Career Advancement CSUCO CSUCO CSUCO CANR CSUCO CANR CSUCO CANR CSUCO CANR CSUCO CANR CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CANR CSUCO CANR CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CANR CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CANR CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CANR CSUCO CSUSM CSUCO CSUC		CSUCO	4.49			
Mission CSLA 4.29 UCANR 4.18 CSUSM 3.95	Contribute to	CSUSM	4.35	1	● 2.80	
UCANR 4.18 CSUSM 3.95	•	UCSD	4.31			
CSUSM 3.95	Mission	CSLA	4.29			
UCSD 3.51 Have a Voice		UCANR	4.18			
Have a Voice		CSUSM	3.95		● 3.00	
UCANR 3.18 CSLA 3.09 CSUSM 3.57		UCSD	3.51			
CSLA 3.09 CSUSM 3.57	Have a Voice	CSUCO	3.43			
CSUSM 3.57		UCANR	3.18			
UCSD Career UCSD 3.26 Advancement Advancement UCANR CSUCO 2.99		CSLA	3.09			
Career UCANR 3.13 CSUCO 2.99		CSUSM	3.57		2.60	
Advancement UCANR 3.13 CSUCO 2.99	_	UCSD	3.26			
CSUCO 2.99		UCANR	3.13			
CSLA 2.86	/ dvalleement =	CSUCO	2.99			
		CSLA	2.86			

 ${\tt UC\ ANR\ (UC\ Division\ of\ Agriculture\ \&\ Natural\ Resources)-Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension}}$

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries



Comparison of Parking and Commuter Services - 22000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Mission and Goals

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Dearlying and Constituter and vice is 22000

•		
	CSUCO	4.45
	CSUSM	4.41 • 4.00
Understand Dept's Mission	UCANR	4.34
Dept 5 Mission	CSLA	4.29
	UCSD	4.24
	CSUCO	4.54
Ctilt t	CSUSM	4.49 ● 4.00
Contribute to Dept's Mission	CSLA	4.39
Dept 5 Mission	UCANR	4.38
	UCSD	4.33
	CSUSM	4.23 ● 3.60
A Dot	UCSD	3.83
Annual Dept Goals	CSUCO	3.76
Goals	UCANR	3.73
	CSLA	3.65
	CSUSM	4.04
Manage Bank	UCSD	3.71
Measures Dept Goals	CSUCO	3.69
Goais	UCANR	3.62
	CSLA	3.47
	CSUSM	4.16
Measures	UCSD	3.76
Customer	CSUCO	3.75
Satisfaction	UCANR	3.44
	CSLA	3.43
	CSUSM	4.25 ● 3.40
Improve	CSUCO	3.95
Services	UCSD	3.83
Products	UCANR	3.65
	CSLA	3.60

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries



Comparison of Parking and Commuter Services - 22000 to other Universities Overall

Department Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Barking and Effectiveness - 22000

•								
	CSUSM	3.15 ● 2.80			CSUSM	4.40	● 3.4	10
Adequate CS	CSUCO	3.07		ollaborate	UCANR	4.10		
Staffing —	UCSD			ith Units	CSUCO	4.10		
	CSLA			utside	UCSD			
	UCANR							
CSUSM		-	3.80		CSLA			
	CSUCO				CSUCO			
lave Tools	UCSD				CSUSM	4.28	● 3.4	10
	UCANR			erform Respo = sibilities =	UCANR	4.21		
	CSLA			sibilities –	UCSD	4.08		
	CSUSM		3.80		CSLA			
Physical Work	CSUCO				CSUCO			
invironment —	UCSD						- 2.00	
	UCANR		p	articipate in	CSUSM		● 2.80	
C:	CSLA CSUCO			ecisions _	UCANR			
	CSUSM		40		UCSD	3.89		
	UCANR		40		CSLA	3.80		
Environment —	UCSD				CSUSM	4.35		4.20
	CSLA				UCANR			
	CSUSM			alance Work	CSUCO			
	CSUCO	-	Li	ife _				
pirit of	UCANR				UCSD			
Cooperation —	UCSD				CSLA			_
	CSLA				CSUSM	4.08	● 2.80	
	CSUCO	4.43			CSUCO	3.80		
	CSUSM	4.43	40	esolves Staff -	UCANR	3.71		
Ethical Conduct	UCANR	4.29	IS	ssues –	UCSD			
	UCSD	4.18			CSLA			
	CSLA	4.03					● 3.00	
					CSUSM		3.00	
			R	etter Ways	CSUCO			
				ecognized _	UCANR			
					UCSD	3.76		

UC ANR (UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources) - Agricultural Experiment Station & UC Cooperative Extension

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

UC San Diego (UCSD) - Academic Affairs, Marine Sciences, Health Sciences, CFO, Student Affairs, Resource Management & Planning, Advancement, Chancellor's Unit, EDI, Research Affairs

CSLA 3.45



Comparison of Parking and Commuter Services - 22000 to other Universities Overall

Department - Diversity and Climate

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Dearlineand Conventity Sandictimate

- - - - - - - - - - - -			
	CSUSM	4.40	● 3.60
	CSLA	4.26	
All Cultures - Fair —	CSUCO	4.25	
raii —	UCANR	4.19	
	UCSD	4.14	
Department	CSUSM	4.17	3.20
Diversity	CSUCO	3.99	
Programs	UCSD	3.94	
	CSUSM	4.47	● 3.60
Sexual	CSUCO	4.36	
Orientation -	CSLA	4.32	
Fair	UCANR	4.27	
	UCSD	4.25	
	CSUSM	4.36	● 3.60
All Welcomed	CSUCO	4.26	
	CSLA	4.10	
	CSUSM	4.37	● 3.40
	CSUCO	4.27	
Support — Diversity —	CSLA	4.24	
Diversity	UCANR	4.13	
	UCSD	4.13	
	CSUSM	4.41	● 3.40
Community-	CSUCO	4.22	
Excellence-	UCSD	4.16	
Professional	UCANR	4.14	
	CSLA	4.04	

	CSUSM	4.23
	CSUCO	4.08
Feel Valued	UCANR	4.04
	UCSD	3.90
	CSLA	3.84

 ${\tt UC\ ANR\ (UC\ Division\ of\ Agriculture\ \&\ Natural\ Resources)-Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension\ Cooperative\ Ex$

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries



Comparison of Parking and Commuter Services - 22000 to other Universities Overall

Supervisor Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Parking and from water Services - 22000

	CSUSM	4.42 ● 4.00		CSUSM	4.22	● 3.	.80
CSUC	CSUCO	4.35		CSUCO	4.20		
without Fear —	UCANR		Evaluated	UCANR	4.05		
	UCSD		Fairly	UCSD			
	CSLA						
	CSUSM	-		CSLA			
Sufficient	CSUCO				4.10	● 3.60	0
Freedom —	UCANR			CSUCO	4.05		
	UCSD		Performance Evaluation	UCSD	3.84		
	CSLA		Lvaluation	CSLA	3.79		
	CSUSM	_		UCANR			
Communicates	CSUCO			CSUCO			
Essential Info —	UCANR UCSD				3.99	● 3.00	
	CSLA		Advancement			3.00	
	CSUSM		Opportunities	UCSD	i		
	CSUCO			UCANR			
Work Assigned	UCSD			CSLA	3.71		
Equitably —	UCANR			CSUSM	4.29	•	4.00
	CSLA			CSUCO	4.25		
	CSUSM	4.24 • 3.00	Supports	UCANR	4.17		
	CSUCO	4.13	Training	UCSD			
Gives Praise —— for Work ——	UCSD	4.06		CSLA			
IOI WOIK	UCANR	3.96					4.00
	CSLA	3.95		CSUSM		•	4.00
	CSUSM	4.20	Treats with	CSUCO			
Suggestions for	CSUCO	4.09	Respect	UCANR	4.40		
Improvement —	UCSD		copect	UCSD	4.36		
improvement	CSLA			CSLA	4.25		
	UCANR	3.85		CSUSM		•	4.00
				CSUCO			
			Supportive of	UCANR			
			Personal Issues				
				UCSD	4.43		

 ${\tt UC\ ANR\ (UC\ Division\ of\ Agriculture\ \&\ Natural\ Resources)-Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension\ Cooperative\ Ex$

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries

UC San Diego (UCSD) - Academic Affairs, Marine Sciences, Health Sciences, CFO, Student Affairs, Resource Management & Planning, Advancement, Chancellor's Unit, EDI, Research Affairs

CSLA 4.36




$Comparison\ of\ Parking\ and\ Commuter\ Services\ -\ 22000\ to\ other\ Universities\ Overall$

Employee Effectiveness

Reading this visualization: Your department/unit's mean scores (black dots) are displayed with the overall means of CSUSM (blue bars) and other participating universities (see list on the bottom of page).

Parking antifectiveness Services - 22000



	CSUSM	3 97		● 3.80	
				3.00	
Valuable	UCSD	3.74			
Training -	CSUCO	3.64			
	UCANR	3.57			
	CSLA	3.54			
	CSUSM	4.49	•	3.00	
Enjoy Working	UCANR	4.40			
with	CSUCO	4.39			
Coworkers	UCSD	4.31			
	CSLA	4.23			

 ${\tt UC\ ANR\ (UC\ Division\ of\ Agriculture\ \&\ Natural\ Resources)-Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension\ Agricultural\ Experiment\ Station\ \&\ UC\ Cooperative\ Extension\ Station\ Barrier (Cooperative\ Extension\ Barrier (Cooperative\$

CSU San Marcos (FAS CSUSM) - Finance & Administrative Services

CSU Chancellor's Office (CSUCO) - Academic & Student Affairs

CAL State LA (CSLA) - President, Provost & VP for Academic Affairs, VP for Admin & CFO, CIO & AVP ITS, VP for Student Life, VP for University Advancement, Auxiliaries