

Executive SummaryCalifornia State University San Marcos

Here are some key findings from your 2006 NSSE report.

To see all your results, review the NSSE 2006 Institutional Report we sent in August to Patricia Morris, Institutional Research.

Respondent Characteristics

Your reports are based on students randomly selected from all your eligible first-year students and seniors (except where a census administration was conducted). The following display shows how many students responded to the survey, response rates, sampling errors and percent female and full-time. To more accurately represent your overall student population, NSSE responses are weighted to compensate for lower response rates of men and part-time students. The female and full-time proportions of your population are presented in parentheses.

	Number of	Response	Sampling	% Female	% Full-time
	Respondents	Rate	Error	Resp. (Pop.)	Resp. (Pop.)
First-Year Students	107	36%	+/- 8.8%	75% (65%)	90% (84%)
Seniors	125	43%	+/- 8%	71% (67%)	84% (82%)

Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

This display compares your first-year and senior benchmark (BM) scores (100-point scales) with those of three reference groups:
(a) your selected peers or consortium¹, (b) your selected Carnegie type¹, and (c) all NSSE 2006 institutions. The '+' symbol indicates a score that is statistically higher than the respective comparison group (p<.05), the '-' symbol indicates a score statistically lower than the comparison group, and a blank space indicates no significant difference. See your Benchmark Comparisons report for the actual scores.

	First-Year			Senior				
	comparisons		comparison			The state of the s		
	BM Score	Select Peers	Carn. Type	NSSE 2006	BM Score	Select Peers	Carn. Type	NSSE 2006
Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)	Score	7 00.5	Турс	2000	Score	Tous	Турс	2000
Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning. Institutions promote high levels of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of academic effort and setting high expectations for student performance.	54	+	+	#	60	+	+	1
Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)								III
Students learn more when they are intensely involved in their education and asked to think about what they are learning in different settings. Collaborating with others on academic work prepares students for the difficult problems they will encounter after college.	45	+	+	+	52			
Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)						***************************************		2005
Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve practical problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside the classroom. As a result, their teachers become role models, mentors, and guides for continuous, life-long learning.	31				36		-	-
Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)					William Control		2011	
Complementary learning opportunities enhance academic programs. Diversity experiences, technology, internships, community service, and senior capstone courses improve communication skills and provide opportunities to integrate and apply knowledge.	27				37			
Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)							0 /	
Students perform better and are more satisfied at colleges that are committed to their success and cultivate positive working and social relations among different groups on campus.	58				60	+	+	+
			100 100		-	W 1 1151		-

Notes

¹ Lists of your selected peers, consortium, and selected Carnegie Classification institutions can be found in the Respondent Characteristics section of your NSSE 2006 Institutional Report.

National Survey of Student Engagement

Executive Summary

NSSE 2006 Item Comparisons

Benchmark scores indicate how engaged your students are in each of the five areas of effective educational practice. By examining individual items, you can better understand what contributes to this level of performance. Even for a benchmark on which your institution performed well, there may be specific items that contribute to the measure where your institution could improve.

This section features the five areas where your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five areas where they scored the lowest, in relation to students at your selected peer or consortium institutions. While these items were chosen to represent the largest percentage differences, they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your NSSE 2006 Institutional Report for additional results of specific interest to your campus.

Highest Performing Areas

	Item #	BM ³	Percent of students who	Cal State San Marcos	Select Peers	Carn. Type	NSSE 2006
ents	3d.	LAC	Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages	65%	29%	32%	33%
tude	3e.	LAC	Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages	49%	29%	31%	32%
ars	1b.	ACL	Made a class presentation ⁴	72%	36%	35%	31%
First-Year Students	1g.	ACL	Worked with other students on projects during class ⁴	57%	45%	44%	42%
Firs	7e.	EEE	Completed foreign language coursework	30%	17%	19%	22%
	3c.	LAC	Wrote at least one paper or report of 20 pages or more	66%	49%	51%	52%
S	3d.	LAC	Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages	68%	47%	47%	47%
Seniors	11.	EEE	Used an electronic medium to discuss or complete an assignment ⁴	73%	59%	61%	62%
Š	7e.	EEE	Completed foreign language coursework	56%	27%	36%	41%
	10b.	SCE	Said the institution provides substantial support for academic success ⁶	79%	65%	69%	68%

Lowest Performing Areas

	Item #	BM ³	Percent of students who	Cal State San Marcos	Select Peers	Carn. Type	NSSE 2006
ents	9a.	LAC	Spent more than 10 hours/week preparing for class (studying, etc.)	41%	49%	50%	54%
Students	10a.	LAC	Said the institution emphasizes studying and academic work ⁶	72%	77%	77%	78%
	1j.	ACL	Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary) ⁴	10%	13%	14%	15%
First-Year	10.	SFI	Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor ⁴	17%	22%	28%	28%
FIFS	8c.	SCE	Positively rated their relationships with admin. personnel and offices ⁵	49%	52%	55%	54%
	1o.	SFI	Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor ⁴	31%	35%	42%	41%
S	7d.	SFI	Worked on a research project with a faculty member outside of class	8%	12%	16%	19%
Seniors	7a.	EEE	Did a practicum, internship, field exp., clinical assgmt	38%	43%	51%	53%
ñ	7g.	EEE	Did an independent study or self-designed major	7%	14%	17%	19%
	9d.	EEE	Spent more than 5 hours/week participating in co-curricular activities	9%	16%	21%	24%

Notes

² Only the 41 items that comprise the five benchmarks are used for this report. Highest and lowest items are those with the greatest percentage differences from your selected peers/consortium.

³ LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences; SCE=Supportive Campus Environment

⁴Combination of students responding 'very often' or 'often'

⁵ Rated at least 5 on a 7-point scale

⁶Combination of students responding 'very much' or 'quite a bit'