AGENDA

Executive Committee Meeting CSUSM Academic Senate

Wednesday ~ November 12, 2014 ~ 12:00 - 2:00 pm Provost's Conference Room - Kellogg 5207

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Approval of Minutes -11/5/14
- III. Chair's Report, Laurie Stowell

NOTE: There is no EC Meeting Scheduled for 11/26/14. The EC and AS Meetings of 12/3/14 will be held at the McMahan House.

Referrals to Committee:

- IV. Vice Chair's Report, Debbie Kristan
- V. Provost's Report, Graham Oberem
- VI. Vice Provost's Report, Kamel Haddad
- VII. Presentations
 - A. Quality Online Teaching, Veronica Añover

Time Certain - 1:00 PM

- VIII. Discussion Items
 - A. BLP: Pre-Proposal Forms
 - Pre-Proposal Program Launch Decisions Form
 - Anticipated Revenues and Costs Worksheets
 - B. Senate Officers: Request for Scheduling Task Force Report
- IX. EC Members Concerns & Announcements

Upcoming Presentations:

AS, 12/3/14 –Undergraduate Studies, Dawn Formo, Dean – Information sharing AS, 12/3/14 – Extended Learning "101" and International Programs, Mike Schroder

Next meeting: November 19, 2014, 12:00 noon – 2:00 pm, Kellogg 5207

Note: There is no EC meeting on 11/26 (Thanksgiving week)

Note: The Senate Meeting of 12/3/14 will be held at the McMahan House

2 3	Pre-Proposal Program Launch Decisions
4 5 6 7 8 9	The purpose of this form is to prompt program proposers and colleges to consider aspects and implications regarding whether to launch the program through Extended Learning or Stateside funds. Proposers should meet with your College Dean's office to begin this process. While resource implications are not the only considerations, this process worksheet should be used to help you consider the necessary resources to support the program. This form should be attached to the A-form.
10	Program Submitted By: College Department
11 12 13	Date Submitted:
14	Individual Preparing Proposal:
15	Signature Print Name
16 17	Proposed Course/Program Title:
18 19 20	Projected Life of the Program: Single Offering Repeated Offering
21 22	Proposed Location: Distance From Campus:
23 24 25 26	 WASC Approval Needed: Yes No Prior approval from WASC is needed for a new program if EITHER of these is true: 1. 50% or more of required coursework can be taken online 2. At least 50% of the program is offered at an off-site location that is 25 miles or more from the main campus
27 28 29	Add WASC language regarding accreditation decisions. Other Accreditation Requirements:
30 31 32	Type of delivery: Face-to-Face Online Hybrid (combination of F2F and Online)
33 34	Proposed start date:
35 36	Proposed Term length: (e.g. standard semester, 6 week, 8 week, etc.)
37 38	Possible grant sources:
39 40	Instructional facilities: on campus off-campus
41 42	If partnering with any other organizations, provide the following information:
43 44	Name of Partner: Contact Person:
45 46	Phone: Email:
47 48 49 50 51	Source for Launching the Program: If program proposers, departments, and colleges have not yet determined the source for launching the new program, they should refer to the "Not yet determined" section to help make that decision. A preliminary decision should be made and submitted with the A-form. During the preparation of the P-form, if circumstances change and the source for launching needs to be altered, proposers should follow the process outlined below and attached updated documents.
52 53	Stateside: Self Support Extended Learning:

54	
55	Stateside: Complete this section if the decision has been made to launch the program with stateside funds.
56	Complete the cost and revenue spreadsheets linked in this form and attach them to the A-form. We realize that
57	the figures in the spreadsheets may change during preparation of the P-form; however, this initial cost and
58	revenue analysis is essential to college and university level planning and can be revised for submission with the
59	P-form.
60	
61	"Anticipated Revenues for New Stateside Programs" (provide as a link)
62	"Anticipated Cost Projections for New Stateside Programs" (provide as a link)
63	
64	Self-Support Extended Learning Funds: Complete this section if the decision has been made to launch the
65	program through Self-Support Extended Learning.
66	
67	Does the new program supplant an existing program? Pursuant to Executive order 1099. "Self-supporting special
68	sessions shall not supplant regular course offerings available on a non-self-supporting basis during the regular
69	academic year (Education Code section 89708). Yes No
70	
71	Have the program proposers met with Extended Learning to determine that the program will be supported by
72	EL? Yes No
73	
74	Attach a preliminary self-support budget from Extended Learning.
75	
76	Not Yet Determined:
77	If program proposers are unclear or undecided as to whether the program should be launched through stateside
78	or extended learning self-support funds, they should consider the following:
79	
80	Does the new program supplant an existing program? Pursuant to Executive order 1099. "Self-supporting special
81	sessions shall not supplant regular course offerings available on a non-self-supporting basis during the regular
82	academic year (Education Code section 89708) If you are unsure, associate deans and extended learning
83	associate deans can help to make that determination. Yes No
84	
85	Complete the Stateside cost and revenue spreadsheets linked in this form to help you analyze the budgetary and
86	resources implications of the new program. We realize that the figures in the spreadsheets may change during
87	preparation of the P-form; however, this initial cost and revenue analysis is essential to college and university
88	level planning.
89	
90	"Anticipated Revenues for New Stateside Programs" (provide as a link)
91	"Anticipated Cost Projections for New Stateside Programs" (provide as a link)
92	
93	Have the program proposers met with Extended Learning to determine that the program will be supported by
94	EL? Yes No
95	
96	

B. ANTICIPATED REVENUES FOR NEW STATESIDE PROGRAMS

	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Y 4	Yr 5
FY	20	20	25	25	25
SOPH	0	18	18	22	22
JUNIOR	10	15	32	32	35
SENIOR	0	10	15	32	32
TOTAL	30	63	90	111	114
FTES	26.00	54.60	78.00	96.20	98.80
Revenue to AA	\$104,000	\$218,400	\$312,000	\$384,800	\$395,200

Planning Assumptions

- 1. 10% attrition from FY to SOPH
- 2. 5% attrition from SOPH to JUNIOR
- 3. By Yr 5, program at steady state
- 4. FTES assumes average unit load of 13 units undergrad.
- 5. Academic Affairs will receive approx. \$4,000 per FTES

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
1												
2	A. ANTICIPATED COST PROJECTIONS FOR NEW STATESIDE PROGRAMS											
3				Yr 1		Yr 2		Yr 3		Yr 4		Yr 5
4												
5	Personnel											
6	TT Faculty		1	\$95,880	2	\$191,760	2	\$191,760	3	\$287,640	3	\$287,640
7	Lecturers		0.5	\$37,584	0.5	\$37,584	1	\$75,167	0.3	\$15,993	0.5	\$37,584
8	Staff		1	\$49,350	1	\$49,350	1	\$49,350	1	\$49,350	1	\$49,350
9												
10	Space											
11	Construction	on										
12	Renovation	n		\$29,000						\$8,000		
13												
14	Library Res	sources										
15	Acquisition	1		\$15,000		\$1,500		\$1,500		\$1,500		\$1,500
16	Subscription	n		\$6,000		\$6,000		\$6,000		\$7,000		\$7,000
17												
18	Equipmen	t/Materials	3									
19	Durable			\$6,700								
20	Expendable	e										
21												
22	Program C	ost		\$239,514		\$286,194.00		\$323,777		\$369,483		\$383,074
23												
24	Planning Assumptions:											
25						41% benefits						
26		_				6 benefits if tir	ne base at .	4				
27		3. Staff Costs = salary + 41% benefits 4. Salary costs do not include pay increases. Use increase information if availab.e										
28		4. Salary co	osts do not	include pay	increases.	Use increase ir	nformation	if availab.e				
29												